Radical Prostatectomy, External Beam Radiotherapy, or External Beam Radiotherapy With Brachytherapy Boost and Disease Progression and Mortality in Patients With Gleason Score 9-10 Prostate Cancer

Amar U. Kishan, MD; Ryan R. Cook, MSPH; Jay P. Ciezki, MD; Ashley E. Ross, MD, PhD; Mark M. Pomerantz, MD; Paul L. Nguyen, MD; Talha Shaikh, MD; Phuoc T. Tran, MD, PhD; Kiri A. Sandler, MD; Richard G. Stock, MD; Gregory S. Merrick, MD; D. Jeffrey Demanes, MD; Daniel E. Spratt, MD; Eyad I. Abu-Isa, MD; Trude B. Wedde, MD; Wolfgang Lilleby, MD, PhD; Daniel J. Krauss, MD; Grace K. Shaw, BA; Ridwan Alam, MPH; Chandana A. Reddy, MS; Andrew J. Stephenson, MD; Eric A. Klein, MD; Daniel Y. Song, MD; Jeffrey J. Tosoian, MD; John V. Hegde, MD; Sun Mi Yoo, MD, MPH; Ryan Fiano, MPH; Anthony V. D'Amico, MD, PhD; Nicholas G. Nickols, MD, PhD; William J. Aronson, MD; Ahmad Sadeghi, MD; Stephen Greco, MD; Curtiland Deville, MD; Todd McNutt, PhD; Theodore L. DeWeese, MD; Robert E. Reiter, MD; Johnathan W. Said, MD; Michael L. Steinberg, MD; Eric M. Horwitz, MD; Patrick A. Kupelian, MD; Christopher R. King, MD, PhD

IMPORTANCE The optimal treatment for Gleason score 9-10 prostate cancer is unknown.

OBJECTIVE To compare clinical outcomes of patients with Gleason score 9-10 prostate cancer after definitive treatment.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS Retrospective cohort study in 12 tertiary centers (11 in the United States, 1 in Norway), with 1809 patients treated between 2000 and 2013.

EXPOSURES Radical prostatectomy (RP), external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) with androgen deprivation therapy, or EBRT plus brachytherapy boost (EBRT+BT) with androgen deprivation therapy.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES The primary outcome was prostate cancer–specific mortality; distant metastasis-free survival and overall survival were secondary outcomes.

RESULTS Of 1809 men, 639 underwent RP, 734 EBRT, and 436 EBRT+BT. Median ages were 61, 67.7, and 67.5 years; median follow-up was 4.2, 5.1, and 6.3 years, respectively. By 10 years, 91 RP, 186 EBRT, and 90 EBRT+BT patients had died. Adjusted 5-year prostate cancer-specific mortality rates were RP, 12% (95% CI, 8%-17%); EBRT, 13% (95% CI, 8%-19%); and EBRT+BT, 3% (95% CI, 1%-5%). EBRT+BT was associated with significantly lower prostate cancer-specific mortality than either RP or EBRT (cause-specific HRs of 0.38 [95% CI, 0.21-0.68] and 0.41 [95% CI, 0.24-0.71]). Adjusted 5-year incidence rates of distant metastasis were RP, 24% (95% CI, 19%-30%); EBRT, 24% (95% CI, 20%-28%); and EBRT+BT, 8% (95% CI, 5%-11%). EBRT+BT was associated with a significantly lower rate of distant metastasis (propensity-score-adjusted cause-specific HRs of 0.27 [95% CI, 0.17-0.43] for RP and 0.30 [95% CI, 0.19-0.47] for EBRT). Adjusted 7.5-year all-cause mortality rates were RP, 17% (95% CI, 11%-23%); EBRT, 18% (95% CI, 14%-24%); and EBRT+BT, 10% (95% CI, 7%-13%). Within the first 7.5 years of follow-up, EBRT+BT was associated with significantly lower all-cause mortality (cause-specific HRs of 0.66 [95% CI, 0.46-0.96] for RP and 0.61 [95% CI, 0.45-0.84] for EBRT). After the first 7.5 years, the corresponding HRs were 1.16 (95% CI, 0.70-1.92) and 0.87 (95% CI, 0.57-1.32). No significant differences in prostate cancer–specific mortality, distant metastasis, or all-cause mortality (≤7.5 and >7.5 years) were found between men treated with EBRT or RP (cause-specific HRs of 0.92 [95% CI, 0.67-1.26], 0.90 [95% CI, 0.70-1.14], 1.07 [95% CI, 0.80-1.44], and 1.34 [95% CI, 0.85-2.11]).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Among patients with Gleason score 9-10 prostate cancer, treatment with EBRT+BT with androgen deprivation therapy was associated with significantly better prostate cancer–specific mortality and longer time to distant metastasis compared with EBRT with androgen deprivation therapy or with RP.

JAMA. 2018;319(9):896-905. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.0587

